UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,810	01/16/2006	Junichiro Sugita	115990-004	3340	
	24573 7590 11/08/2007 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P.O. Box 1135			EXAMINER HESS, BRUCE H	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•				
15	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/564,810	SUGITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bruce H. Hess	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become AB ANDO g date of this communication, even if timely	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	16-06 (205)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 200 This action is FINAL 200 This	'					
	This action is That.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
·	expanto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11	. 100 C.C. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1~4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		eation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date I-V606	6) Other:	••				

10/564,810

Art Unit: 1794

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent 7,074,741 in view of any of the patents to Hirota et al. (JP 03-162991), Mori et al. (JP 05-330257) Josa et al. (JP 09-234963) or Simpson et al. (JP 10-157310).

The claims of the aforementioned U.S. Patent are directed to a sublimation transfer recording medium which reduces sticking to soft vinyl chloride cards by employing a block copolymer silicone resin in the dye transfer layer. The secondary references are directed to sublimation transfer recording media which employ a phenoxy resin in the dye transfer layer in order to reduce sticking. Since it is obvious to combine materials which perform the same function, use of the prior art block copolymer silicone and phenoxy resins anti-sticking agents together would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

2 Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of the patents to Sugita et al. (U.S. Patent 7,074,741) or Yokoyama et al. (JP 10-297123) in view of any of the patents to Hirota et al., Mori et al., Josa et al. or Simpson et al.

The primary references are directed to sublimation transfer recording media which reduce sticking to receiving elements by employing a block copolymer silicone resins in the dye transfer layers. The secondary references are directed to sublimation transfer recording media which employ a phenoxy resin in the dye transfer layers in order to reduce sticking. Since it is obvious to combine materials which perform the same function, use of the prior art block copolymer silicone and phenoxy resins antiApplication/Control Number:

10/564,810 Art Unit: 1794 Page 3

sticking agents together would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300